	Case 2:23-cv-00938-KJM-EFB Documer	nt 13 Filed 02/05/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DYLAN R. FOOTE,	No. 2:23-cv-00938-EFB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	EL DORADO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, et al.,	
15 16	Defendants.	
17 18	Plaintiff, a county jail inmate, proceeds without counsel in an action brought under 42	
19	U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28	
20	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
21	On October 30, 2023, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
22	1915A. ECF No. 11. The court dismissed the complaint for failure to state a claim and granted	
23	plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies. <i>Id.</i> The	
24	screening order warned plaintiff that failure to comply could result in a recommendation that this	
25	action be dismissed. The time for acting has now passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended	
26	complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. Thus, it appears that plaintiff is unable or	
27 28	properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address	

1 unwilling to cure the defects in the complaint. 2 Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a district judge to 3 this action. 4 Further, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice for the 5 reasons stated in the October 30, 2023 screening order, and that plaintiff's pending motion (ECF 6 No. 10, requesting subpoenas) be denied as moot. 7 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 8 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 9 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 10 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 11 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the 12 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The 13 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to 14 appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez 15 v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 16 Bil ma 17 Dated: February 5, 2024 18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 party is fully effective.

2

Case 2:23-cv-00938-KJM-EFB Document 13 Filed 02/05/24 Page 2 of 2